**OBJECTIVES**

This charrette met the stated objectives of:

1. Providing an update/status of the Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standards (EEPS) goal  
   Average: 4.05
2. Providing an update/status of the Public Benefits Fee/Administrator (Hawaii Energy)  
   Average: 4.25
3. Helping to chart the future of energy efficiency in Hawaii  
   Average: 3.40
4. Providing opportunities for discussion about energy efficiency in Hawaii  
   Average: 4.73

**SPEAKERS (generally)**

1. Knowledgeable in content areas  
   Average: 4.71
2. Content consistent with objectives  
   Average: 4.52
3. Clarified content in response to questions  
   Average: 4.62

**CONTENT**

1. Appropriate for charrette attendees  
   Average: 4.52
2. Consistent with stated objectives  
   Average: 4.62
3. Covered all the subject matter  
   Average: 4.33

**PRESENTATION METHODS**

1. Visual aids, handouts, and oral presentations clarified content  
   Average: 3.90
2. Presentation methods were appropriate for subject matter  
   Average: 4.10

**RELEVANCY**

1. Information could be applied to my business  
   Average: 4.52
2. Information could contribute to achieving energy efficiency goals  
   Average: 4.50

**FACILITY**

1. Was adequate and appropriate for session  
   Average: 3.90
2. Was comfortable and provided adequate space  
   Average: 3.81
3. Set-up of the room was conducive for session  
   Average: 3.81

---

Please place a ✓ next to your response(s)

**IN GENERAL**

Do you prefer:

- half-day seminars: 42.11%
- full-day seminars: 42.11%
- multi-day seminars: 26.32%
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Do you prefer charrettes in:
- Hotels 16.67%
- Meeting Rooms 27.78%
- Country Clubs/Golf Courses 11.11%
- Restaurants 0.00%
- No preference 55.56%
- Other (specify) 11.11%
- Quiet and not cold
- Energy efficient building
- Environmentally conscious facilities

How much time do you need to respond to a charrette announcement?
- less than 1 month 36.84%
- 4 to 6 weeks 42.11%
- more than 6 weeks 26.32%

How did you learn about this charrette?
- supervisor 15.79%
- colleague 31.58%
- website 5.26%
- newspaper 0.00%
- e-mail 42.11%
- other (specify) 21.05%
- Rich Sedano
- Browsing Eventbrite

How far did you travel to attend this charrette?
- 0-5 miles 47.37%
- 5-10 miles 26.32%
- 10-15 miles 5.26%
- 15-20 miles 0.00%
- 20-25 miles 0.00%
- over 25 miles 21.05%

Footnote:
1. Since respondents could select more than one response, the percentages may not add up to 100%.
TOPICS FOR SECOND CHARRETTE:
1. Refine further into action planning.
2. Showing available data relevant to the topic.
3. How our current administration effects efficiency.
4. Breakouts, opportunity to shape discussion ahead of meeting.
5. Top 3 identified issues from the post-its on the board.
6. Dig deeper in potential new models to ensure we continue to evolve as the industry, technology, policies and objectives/goals evolve.
7. More focus on discussion, less lecture, but good overview.
8. More focus on actions vs. theory - did not capture the key actions.
9. Next steps - deeper, smaller group task forces with defined outcomes.
10. Legislation related to goals outlined in previous charrette.
11. Update on action items forwarded in previous charrette.
12. Reformation of regulatory program/decision-making processes.
14. Results of data needs for State entities.
15. What specific recommendations should be given to:
   - Legislature
   - Public Utilities Commission
   - Counties
   - Utilities
   - Industry
   And how to disseminate those recommendations.
16. Deeper dives into many of the topics.

ORGANIZATIONS TO CONTACT:
1. University of Hawaii
2. University of Hawaii Economic Research Organization (UHERO)
3. Photonworks Engineering
4. Sunetric
5. Revolusun
6. Hawaii County Energy Office
7. Pulling in community stakeholders:
   - Customers
   - Indigenous groups
   - Business & hotel owners
   - Young professional groups
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OTHER COMMENTS:
1. Cold!
2. Convenient location!
3. Please provide presentation materials
4. The following were provided by one respondent:
   - Very cold
   - Was this an energy efficient building?
   - Did all lights need to be on during session?